

OGC HAS REVIEWED.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : General Counsel

DATE: 21 September 1954

FROM : Chief, Fiscal Division

SUBJECT: Dual Compensation [REDACTED]

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

1. There is attached a copy of a memorandum to [REDACTED] dated 27 January 1954 [REDACTED] the Office of Personnel regarding the employment of [REDACTED]. It is the opinion of Personnel that [REDACTED] is not violating the dual employment laws since his contract is on a fee basis rather than time basis. His contract reads "\$50.00 per consultation" and he has received payment for only eleven (11) consultations (11 different days).

STATINTL

2. Our records also show [REDACTED] to be a retired [REDACTED]. Apparently, the Office of Personnel places [REDACTED] in the same category with [REDACTED] as in the remarks section of Standard Form No. 52 there appears the following: "Special Note: [REDACTED] will NOT be required to forego his regular U.S. Army retired pay for the days on which he renders service to the DCI since his compensation is based on a 'per consultation' basis and, in accordance with a Comptroller General decision may receive both salaries". The General was hired at "\$50.00 per consultation" but he has not received any monies as of this date.

STATINTL

STATINTL

3. Your advice on the foregoing will be appreciated.

Enclosure (1)

STATINTL